Advanced Cryptography — Final Exam

Serge Vaudenay

16.6.2009

- all documents are allowed
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- answers to the exercises must be provided on a separate sheet
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade
- do not forget to put your name on the sheet!

1 A Distinguisher

We consider an oracle A which, upon a query x which is a vector of k bits, behaves as follows:

Input: x

- 1: compute the vector \bar{x} by flipping all bits of x
- 2: set $u = \bar{x} \| x$
- 3: pick a random permutation σ over $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$
- 4: apply transposition σ on u to get a vector v
 - namely, if $u = u_{2k} \| \cdots \| u_2 \| u_1$ we have $v = u_{\sigma(2k)} \| \cdots \| u_{\sigma(2)} \| u_{\sigma(1)} \|$
- 5: set y to the k rightmost bits of v

Output: y

We denote y = A(x). (We stress that A(x) is a random variable.)

1. Given a random variable X we define its distribution function $P_X(x) = \Pr[X = x]$. Show that for any x and y we have

$$P_{A(x)}(y) = \frac{\binom{k}{k-w(y)}}{\binom{2k}{k}}$$

where w(y) is the Hamming weight of y (i.e. the number of bits set to 1 in y). Deduce it does not depend on x.

Before permutation σ , the 2k-bit string u has a Hamming weight of k. After permutation σ , the obtained 2k-bit string v is a random string uniformly distributed among all those with Hamming weight k. We have to compute the probability that a random 2k-bit string v ends with the y half. For this, we count how many strings exist and we divide by the total number of possible strings. There are $\binom{2k}{k}$ possible strings in total. To complete the v half to get a string with weight k, we have to pick a string of length k and weight k - w(y). Clearly, we have $\binom{k}{k-w(y)}$. We deduce the formula for $P_{A(x)}(y)$ and we observe it does not depend on x and only depends on w(y).

As an application, compute the table of $P_{A(x)}$ with k = 2.

For
$$y = 0$$
 we have $P_{A(x)} = \frac{1}{6}$, for $y = 01$ and $y = 10$, we have $P_{A(x)} = \frac{1}{3}$, for $y = 11$, we have $P_{A(x)} = \frac{1}{6}$.

2. Deduce the best advantage of a distinguisher limited to a single query x for distinguishing A from a random oracle.

The best advantage is given by the statistical distance, so

$$\mathsf{BestAdv}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y} \left| \frac{\binom{k}{k-w(y)}}{\binom{2k}{k}} - 2^{-k} \right|$$

We can group the y's by Hamming weight and obtain

$$\mathsf{BestAdv}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w=0}^k \binom{k}{w} \left| \frac{\binom{k}{k-w}}{\binom{2k}{k}} - 2^{-k} \right|$$

For k = 2, compute the advantage.

We have

$$\mathsf{BestAdv}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{6}$$
which is pretty large. So, this construction introduces a significant bias.

3. Given a function $f:\{0,1\}^k\to {\bf R}$ we define its discrete Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(a) = \sum_{x} (-1)^{a \cdot x} f(x)$$

Let r be the Hamming weight of the bitwise AND of a and x and let s be such that r + s is the Hamming weight of x. Show that $a \cdot x$ can be expressed as a function in terms of r and s. By grouping the x's with same values of r and s in the sum, show that there is a function g such that $\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a) = g(w(a))$.

We have $a \cdot x = r \mod 2$. Since $P_{A(x)}(y)$ is a function of w(y) then it is a function of r + s. The number of y's given r and s is $\binom{w(a)}{r}\binom{k-w(a)}{s}$. We have

$$\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a) = \sum_{y} (-1)^{a \cdot y} \frac{\binom{k}{k - w(y)}}{\binom{2k}{k}}$$

So,

$$\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a) = \sum_{r=0}^{w(a)} \sum_{s=0}^{k-w(a)} \binom{w(a)}{r} \binom{k-w(a)}{s} (-1)^r \frac{\binom{k}{k-r-s}}{\binom{2k}{k}}$$

We thus have $\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a) = g(w(a))$ for

$$g(w) = \sum_{r=0}^{w} \sum_{s=0}^{k-w} \binom{w}{r} \binom{k-w}{s} (-1)^r \frac{\binom{k}{k-r-s}}{\binom{2k}{k}}$$

Compute the table of $\hat{P}_{A(x)}$ for k = 2.

For a = 0 we have $\hat{P}_{A(x)} = g(0) = 1$, for a = 01 and a = 10, we have $\hat{P}_{A(x)} = g(1) = 0$, for a = 11, we have $\hat{P}_{A(x)} = g(2) = -\frac{1}{3}$.

To fix the bias, we consider the following oracle B.

Input: x 1: for i=1 to r do 2: query A(x) and get y_i 3: end for 4: set $y = y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_r$ Output: y

Again, we denote B(x) the random output from x.

4. Given two independent random variables X and Y, show that

$$P_{X \oplus Y}(z) = \sum_{x,y \text{ s.t. } x \oplus y=z} P_X(x) P_Y(y)$$

By definition we have

$$P_{X \oplus Y}(z) = \Pr[X \oplus Y = z] = \sum_{x, y \text{ s.t. } x \oplus y = z} \Pr[X = x \text{ and } Y = y]$$

and since X and Y are independent we obtain the announced result.

Deduce that

$$P_{B(x)}(y) = \sum_{\substack{y_1, \dots, y_r \text{ s.t.} \\ y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_r = y}} \prod_{i=1}^r P_{A(x)}(y_i)$$

We prove it by induction on r. Clearly, it is true for r = 1. If it is true for r - 1 we prove it for r by letting X be the XOR of the r - 1 first y_i 's and Y be the last y_r .

If we had to compute the table of $P_{B(x)}$ form this formula, what would be the complexity, roughly? Is it doable for k = 10 and r = 10?

We would have to sum $2^{k(r-1)}$ terms. For k = 10 and r = 10 this would be infeasible.

5. Show that for all a we have

$$\hat{P}_{X\oplus Y}(a) = \hat{P}_X(a) \times \hat{P}_Y(a)$$

i.e. the discrete Fourier transform of the distribution of $X \oplus Y$ is obtained by multiplying the discrete Fourier transforms of X and Y.

We have

$$\hat{P}_{X \oplus Y}(a) = \sum_{z} (-1)^{a \cdot z} P_{X \oplus Y}(z) = \sum_{z} (-1)^{a \cdot z} \sum_{x, y \text{ s.t. } x \oplus y = z} P_X(x) P_Y(y)$$

We rewrite it into

$$\hat{P}_{X \oplus Y}(a) = \sum_{z} \sum_{x,y \text{ s.t. } x \oplus y=z} (-1)^{a \cdot x} P_X(x) (-1)^{a \cdot y} P_Y(y)$$

Since z does not appear anymore, the inner sum finally sums over all x and y. We obtain

$$\hat{P}_{X \oplus Y}(a) = \sum_{x,y} (-1)^{a \cdot x} P_X(x) (-1)^{a \cdot y} P_Y(y)$$

which clearly factors into $\hat{P}_X(a)\hat{P}_Y(a)$.

Deduce that

$$\hat{P}_{B(x)}(a) = \left(\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Again, this is proven by induction on r.

If we had to compute the table of $\hat{P}_{B(x)}$ form this formula, what would be the complexity, roughly? Is it doable for k = 10 and r = 10? How about k = 128 and r = 10?

We would have to compute the table of $\hat{P}_{A(x)}$ and to raise its 2^k terms to the power r. There are efficient algorithms to compute the discrete Fourier transform. For k = 10and r = 10 this would be easy. For k = 128 we cannot even store the table so it would be impossible.

6. For any function $f: \{0,1\}^k \to \mathbf{R}$ such that $\sum_x f(x) = 1$, show that

$$\sum_{x} \left(f(x) - 2^{-k} \right)^2 = 2^{-k} \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(\hat{f}(a) \right)^2$$

Hint: think about Parseval.

By expanding the left-hand side we obtain

$$\sum_{x} f(x)^2 - 2^{-k}$$

We notice that $\hat{f}(a) = \sum_{x} f(x) = 1$, so the equation is equivalent to

$$\sum_{x} f(x)^{2} = 2^{-k} \sum_{a} \left(\hat{f}(a) \right)^{2}$$

To prove it, we start by the right-hand side sum. We have

$$\sum_{a} \left(\hat{f}(a) \right)^2 = \sum_{a} \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (-1)^{a \cdot (x \oplus y)} f(x) f(y)$$

We swap the sums and obtain

$$\sum_{a} \left(\hat{f}(a) \right)^2 = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} f(x) f(y) \sum_{a} (-1)^{a \cdot (x \oplus y)}$$

The inner sum is always 0 when $x \neq y$ and equals 2^k otherwise. Hence,

$$\sum_{a} \left(\hat{f}(a) \right)^2 = 2^k \sum_{x} f(x)^2$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

7. Deduce that the square Euclidean imbalance of B(x) is

$$\mathsf{SEI}(B(x)) = \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a) \right)^{2r}$$

By definition, we have

$$\mathsf{SEI}(B(x)) = 2^k \sum_{y} \left(P_{B(x)}(y) - 2^{-k} \right)^2$$

Thanks to the previous question, we obtain

$$\mathsf{SEI}(B(x)) = \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(\hat{P}_{B(x)}(a) \right)^2$$

We conclude be recalling our previous result $\hat{P}_{B(x)}(a) = \left(\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a)\right)^r$.

Finally deduce that

$$\mathsf{SEI}(B(x)) = \sum_{w=1}^{k} \binom{k}{w} (g(w))^{2r}$$

Is it feasible to compute it for k = 128 and r = 10?

In the previous equation we just group all a's by their Hamming weight w and recall $\hat{P}_{A(x)}(a) = g(w(a))$. To compute it we first have to make the table of g which is a double sum with at most k terms in each sum so we have less than k^2 terms to sum. Then, the above sum is over k terms, so this is easy to compute.

8. Deduce an estimate on the number of samples to distinguish B(x) from a uniformly distributed random variable.

The number of sample is within the order of magnitude of the inverse of the Chernoff information which is roughly the SEI over $8 \ln 2$. Hence, the number of sample is

$$q \approx \frac{8\ln 2}{\sum_{w=1}^{k} \binom{k}{w} (g(w))^{2r}}$$

9. As an application, compute this estimate for k = 2. How large r must be so that this is higher than 2^{80} ?

We have seen that g(1) = 0 and $g(2) = -\frac{1}{3}$. Hence, $q \approx 8 \ln 2 \times 9^r$. We need $r \geq 24$ to have $q \geq 2^{80}$.

2 Σ -Protocol for Cubic Residues

We consider an integer $n = p \times q$ where p and q are two primes numbers, 3 divides p - 1 but not q - 1.

1. Show that -3 is a quadratic residue modulo p.

We use the properties of the Jacobi symbol. We have $\binom{-3}{p} = \binom{p}{-3} = \binom{1}{-3} = +1$ so -3 is a quadratic residue modulo p.

2. Deduce that $X^2 + X + 1$ has 2 roots in \mathbf{Z}_p .

The discriminant of $X^2 + X + 1$ is -3. Let $-3 \equiv u^2 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $X^2 + X + 1$ has two square roots $(-1 \pm u)/2 \mod p$. Alternately, we have $X^2 + X + 1 = (X + \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{u^2}{4} = (X - \frac{-1+u}{2})(X + \frac{-1-u}{2})$ from which we deduce the two roots.

3. Show that $X^3 - 1$ has exactly 3 different roots in \mathbf{Z}_p .

The polynomial $X^3 - 1$ cannot have more than 3 roots over the field \mathbb{Z}_p . Multiple roots must be roots of its derivative $3X^2$ which has only 0 as a root. So, $X^3 - s$ has no multiple roots when $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. The polynomial $X^3 - 1$ has root 1 and the roots of $X^2 + X + 1$. So, $X^3 - 1$ has exactly 3 roots.

Deduce that for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ the polynomial $X^3 - s$ has either no root or exactly 3 different roots.

We know it cannot have more than 3 roots. Assume it has one root θ . Let $1, \zeta, \zeta'$ be the 3 roots of $X^3 - 1$. We observe that $\theta, \theta\zeta, \theta\zeta'$ are 3 different roots of $X^3 - s$. So we have exactly 3 different roots.

4. By using the Chinese remainder theorem, show that any element of \mathbf{Z}_n^* has either exactly 3 cubic roots or none. Those with cubic roots will be called *cubic residues*. We denote by CR_n the set of all cubic residues from \mathbf{Z}_n^* .

A number x is a cubic root of s modulo n iff it is a cubic root modulo p and modulo q. Since 3 is coprime with $\varphi(q)$, every residue has a unique cubic root modulo q. Hence, by using the Chinese remainder theorem we obtain that a number always has the same number of cubic roots modulo n and modulo p.

5. Inspire by the Fiat-Shamir Σ -protocol and propose a Σ -protocol for the relation

$$R((n,v),s) \Leftrightarrow vs^3 \mod n = 1$$

Be careful to go through the check list which has been given in the course, describe all components of the Σ -protocol and prove it satisfies the required properties.

 $We \ propose$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Prover & Verifier\\ \textbf{witness: } s & \textbf{input: } (n,v) \\ pick \ r \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* & pick \ e \in \{0,1\} \\ x = r^3 \ \text{mod} \ n & \xrightarrow{x} \\ & \longleftarrow \\ y = rs^e \ \text{mod} \ n & \xrightarrow{y} \\ y = rs^e \ \text{mod} \ n & \xrightarrow{y} \\ \end{array}$$

By going through the checklist, we define:

- the relation R is already defined
- the first prover function $\mathcal{P}(n, v; r) = r^3 \mod n$
- the challenge domain $E = \{0, 1\}$
- the second prover function $\mathcal{P}(n, v, e; r) = rs^e \mod n$
- the verification function $V(n, v, x, e, y) \iff y^3 v^e \mod n = x$
- the extractor algorithm $\mathcal{E}(n, v, x, e, y, e', y')$: since e and e' are different in $\{0, 1\}$ we denote y_0 resp. y_1 the y or y' value corresponding to the challenge 0 resp. 1. We compute $z = y_1/y_0 \mod n$.
- the simulator algorithm $\mathcal{S}(n, v, e; r)$: pick $y \in_U \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ form r and set $x = y^3 v^e \mod n$.

We can now prove all required properties:

- (efficiency) all algorithms are polynomially bounded
- (completeness) for each ((n, v), s) in the language and a honestly generated transcript (x, e, y) then V(n, v, x, e, y) holds.
- (special soundness) for each (n, v), if (x, e, y) and (x, e', y') are two accepting transcripts with same x, then \mathcal{E} produces a witness. This comes from

$$\left(\frac{y_1}{y_0}\right)^3 v \equiv \frac{y_1^3 v}{y_0^3} \equiv \frac{x}{x} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

- (honest verifier zero-knowledge) for a honest prover, y is always uniformly distributed (whatever e) and $x = y^3 v^e \mod n$. For the simulator, this is the same. So, both transcripts have same distribution.

3 The GQ Protocol

 Σ -protocols are made with some components satisfying a list of requirements as explained in the course. We consider here Σ -protocols with the extra property of uniqueness of response: using the notations from the course, for each x, a, e, there exists a unique z such that the verification V(x, a, e, z) holds.

1. Show that the Schnorr Σ -protocol provides uniqueness of response.

In the Schnorr protocol we have $ry^e = g^s$ in the group so the response s is the unique integer in \mathbb{Z}_q such that $g^s = ry^e$.

Let (N, e) be an RSA public key. We consider the following GQ protocol with relation

 $R((N, e, X), x) \iff x^e \mod N = X$

Verifier

Prover witness: x input: (N, e, X)

pick $y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ pick $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{\ell} - 1\}$ $Y \leftarrow y^e \mod N \xrightarrow{Y}$ $z \leftarrow yx^c \mod N \xrightarrow{z} z^e \stackrel{?}{=} YX^c \pmod{N}$

Warning: in the GQ protocol, notations are somewhat different from usual.

2. Assuming that GQ is a Σ -protocol, formalize all components except the extractor.

By going through the checklist, we define:

- the relation R is already defined
- the first prover function $\mathcal{P}(N, e; r)$ generates y form r and output $Y = y^e \mod N$
- the challenge domain $E = \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{\ell} 1\}$
- the second prover function $\mathcal{P}(N, e, c; r)$ computes y as before and $z = yx^c \mod N$
- the verification function $V(N, e, Y, c, z) \iff z^e \equiv YX^c \pmod{N}$
- the extractor algorithm $\mathcal{E}(N, e, Y, c, z, c', z')$ is not asked in this question
- the simulator algorithm $\mathcal{S}(N, e, c; r)$: pick $z \in_U \mathbf{Z}_N^*$ form r and set $Y = z^e / X^c \pmod{N}$ (mod N)
- 3. Show (except special soundness) that all properties are satisfied.

We can now prove all required properties:

- (efficiency) all algorithms are polynomially bounded
- (completeness) for each ((N, e), x) in the language and a honestly generated transcript (Y, c, z) then V(N, e, Y, c, z) holds.
- (special soundness) not asked in this question
- (honest verifier zero-knowledge) for a honest prover, z is always uniformly distributed (whatever c) and $Y = z^e/X^c \pmod{N}$. For the simulator, this is the same. So, both transcripts have same distribution.
- 4. Show that GQ provides response uniqueness.

The response z must satisfy $z^e \equiv YX^c \pmod{N}$. Since (N, e) is a valid RSA key, there exists a secret key d and by raising the equation to the power d we have $z = Y^d X^{dc} \pmod{N}$, so z is unique.

5. When $gcd(c_1-c_2, e) = 1$, show that we can extract a witness from two transcripts (Y, c_1, z_1) and (Y, c_2, z_2) .

Hint: use the extended Euclid algorithm to find two integers a and b such that $ae + b(c_1 - c_2) = 1$.

Let a and b from the extended Euclid algorithm be such that $ae + b(c_1 - c_2) = 1$. We have $z_1^e \equiv YX^{c_1}$ and $z_2^e \equiv YX^{c_2}$ so $X \equiv X^{ae} \frac{Y^b X^{bc_1}}{Y^b X^{bc_2}} \equiv X^{ae} \frac{z_1^{be}}{z_2^{be}}$ so $x = X^a \frac{z_1^b}{z_2^b} \mod N$ satisfies $X \equiv x^e$.

6. Deduce that we have an extractor which might fail sometimes. Estimate the probability of failure for e = 65537.

When getting two transcripts with same Y the extractor $\mathcal{E}(N, e, Y, c, z, c', z')$ works by taking $X^{a} \frac{z_{1}^{b}}{z_{2}^{b}} \mod N$ as above. It fails in the extended Euclid algorithm if $gcd(c_{1} - c_{2}, e) \neq 1$. For e prime, this is equivalent to e divides $c_{1} - c_{2}$. For ℓ large and e = 65537, which is prime, the probability of this event is roughly 1/e, which is small.