

Advanced Cryptography — Midterm Exam

Serge Vaudenay

22.4.2009

1 RSA Public-Key Recovery

Given an integer e and a few (x_i, y_i) pairs such that $y_i = x_i^e \bmod N$ for some unknown common N of known bit-length ℓ , we consider the problem of recovering N . We assume that $0 \leq x_i, y_i < N$ and that i ranges from 1 to n .

1. Using Buffon's needle problem we can show that the probability that two independent uniformly distributed integers in $\{0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1\}$ are coprime tends towards $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$ as ℓ goes to infinity. We take independent uniformly distributed integers X_1, \dots, X_n in $\{0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1\}$. Show that the probability that $\gcd(X_1, \dots, X_n) > 1$ is less than $\left(1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ as ℓ goes to infinity.

Hint: consider $\frac{n}{2}$ disjoint pairs of form (X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}) .

2. We now take iid random integers X_1, \dots, X_n in $\{0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1\}$ which are uniformly distributed among the multiples of N . Show that $\gcd(X_1, \dots, X_n) = N$ except with negligible probability as n increases.
3. Deduce that we can recover N by computing $\gcd(x_1^e - y_1, \dots, x_n^e - y_n)$. What is its complexity in terms of ℓ , e , and n ?

2 DP and LP Tricks

Consider a function f from $A = \{0, 1\}^p$ to $B = \{0, 1\}^q$. We define DP^f and LP^f as functions from $A \times B$ to \mathbf{R} as usual by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DP}^f(a, b) &= \Pr_X[f(a \oplus X) \oplus f(X) = b] \\ \text{LP}^f(a, b) &= \left(2 \Pr_X[a \cdot X = b \cdot f(X)] - 1\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

1. Show that for any $b \neq 0$ we have $\text{DP}^f(0, b) = 0$. Give a necessary and sufficient condition about f so that

$$\forall a \neq 0 \quad \text{DP}^f(a, 0) = 0$$

2. Show that for any $a \neq 0$ we have $\text{LP}^f(a, 0) = 0$.
3. We define a function g from B to \mathbf{R} by $g(y) = \Pr[f(X) = y]$ for all $y \in B$ where X is uniformly distributed in A . Show that for any function h we have

$$E(h(f(X))) = E(g(Y)h(Y))$$

where Y is uniformly distributed in B .

4. Deduce that

$$\text{LP}^f(0, b) = \left(E \left(g(Y) (-1)^{b \cdot Y} \right) \right)^2$$

where Y is uniformly distributed in B .

5. Show that

$$g(y) = 2^{-q} \sum_{b \in B} (-1)^{b \cdot y} E \left((-1)^{b \cdot f(X)} \right)$$

where X is uniformly distributed in A .

6. Deduce that

$$\forall b \neq 0 \quad \text{LP}^f(0, b) = 0$$

if and only if $g(y) = 2^{-q}$ for all $y \in B$.

7. Deduce that

$$\forall b \neq 0 \quad \text{LP}^f(0, b) = 0$$

if and only if f is balanced, i.e. all elements in B are equally taken as images by f .

3 Applied Crypto-polymorphism

The CONFIKER worm is permanently updating itself by looking for updates over the Internet. Once it has found the update, it checks if the update code has a correct RSA signature with modulus N and public exponent e . One problem is that the value of N in the code of the worm is large enough to be used by anti-virus software to detect the presence of the worm. The worm conceptor attended to a lecture on cryptography and would like to obfuscate N using cryptographic tricks.

1. Recall how the RSA signature verification works for a message m with signature σ . (Assume for example PKCS#1v1.5 with deterministic formatting rules for m .)
2. Once the worm installs, it picks a random prime number p , computes $N' = pN$ and discards p and N . The value of N' remains in the worm code. Show that a signature σ of an update code m can still be verified using e and N' instead of e and N .

Can an anti-virus software detect the presence of the RSA key?

3. Assume that the anti-virus software conceptor has analyzed the code of the worm on two independent infected machines and extracted N'_1 and N'_2 . Show that he can deduce the value of N .

With the value of N , show that we can still detect the presence of the worm based on the value of N' in the code. (Assume that N' can easily be extracted from the code.)

4 Distinguishing Sources

We consider a source producing iid random variables $X_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$. For this, we consider two distributions:

- the uniform distribution P_0
- the distribution P_1 induced by $X_i = Y_i \bmod 2^\ell$ where Y_i is uniformly distributed in $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ and $p > 2^\ell$. (Note that P_0 can be considered as a particular case of P_1 with $p = 2^\ell$.)

We assume that ℓ is large, e.g. $\ell \geq 80$ and we let $r = p \bmod 2^\ell$.

1. Given $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1\}$, show that

$$P_1(x) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{r}{p}\right) 2^{-\ell} + \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } x < r \\ \left(1 - \frac{r}{p}\right) 2^{-\ell} & \text{if } x \geq r. \end{cases}$$

2. Describe a distinguisher using $q = 1$ which achieves the optimal advantage.
3. For $q = 1$, what is the best advantage for distinguishing P_0 from P_1 ? Express it as a formula in terms of ℓ , p , and r .
4. Deduce that for $p \leq c2^\ell$ with c small and $r2^{-\ell}$ neither too small nor too close to 1, then P_0 and P_1 can be distinguished using a single sample.
5. Describe a distinguisher using an arbitrarily fixed q which achieves the optimal advantage.
6. Compute the squared Euclidean distance between P_0 and P_1 .
7. Assuming that P_1 is close to P_0 , approximate the Chernoff information between P_0 and P_1 . Deduce that $C(P_0, P_1) \leq \frac{2^\ell}{2p \ln 2}$ whatever r .
8. Deduce that for p larger than $2^{2\ell}$ the two distributions are indistinguishable in practice.