

# Advanced Cryptography — Final Exam

Serge Vaudenay

18.6.2012

- duration: 3h00
- any document is allowed
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- the exam invigilators will not answer any technical question during the exam
- the answers to each exercise must be provided on separate sheets
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade
- do not forget to put your name on every sheet!

## 1 Some Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problems

For each of the group families below, give their order, say if they are cyclic, and show that the Decisional Diffie-Hellman problem (DDH) is not hard.

**Q.1**  $G = \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  where  $p$  is an odd prime number.

**Q.2**  $G = \{-1, +1\} \times H$  where  $H$  is a cyclic group of odd prime order  $q$ .

**Q.3**  $G = \mathbf{Z}_q$  where  $q$  is a prime number.

## 2 MAC Revisited

Given a security parameter  $s$ , a set  $\mathcal{X}_s$  and two groups  $\mathcal{Y}_s$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s$ , we define a *function family* by a deterministic algorithm mapping  $(s, k, x)$  for  $k \in \mathcal{K}_s$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}_s$  to some  $y \in \mathcal{Y}_s$ , in time bounded by a polynomial in terms of  $s$ . (By abuse of notation, we denote  $y = f_k(x)$  and omit  $s$ .)

We say that this is a *key-homomorphic function* if for any  $s$ , any  $x \in \mathcal{X}_s$ , any  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_s$ , and any integers  $a, b$ , we have

$$f_{ak_1+bk_2}(x) = (f_{k_1}(x))^a (f_{k_2}(x))^b$$

Given a function family  $f$ , a function  $\ell$ , and a bit  $b$ , we define the following game.

**Game**  $\text{wPRF}_\ell(b)$ :

- 1: pick random coins  $r$
- 2: pick  $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell(s)} \in \mathcal{X}_s$  uniformly
- 3: **if**  $b = 0$  **then**
- 4:   pick  $k \in \mathcal{K}_s$  uniformly
- 5:   compute  $y_i = f_k(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell(s)$
- 6: **else**
- 7:   pick a random function  $g : \mathcal{X}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s$
- 8:   compute  $y_i = g(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell(s)$
- 9: **end if**
- 10:  $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{\ell(s)}, y_{\ell(s)}); r)$

Given some fixed  $b$ ,  $r$ , and  $k$  or  $g$ , the game is deterministic and we define  $\Gamma_{0,r,k}^{\text{wPRF}}(\mathcal{A})$  or  $\Gamma_{1,r,g}^{\text{wPRF}}(\mathcal{A})$  as the outcome  $b'$ . We say that  $f$  is a *weak pseudorandom function (wPRF)* if for any polynomially bounded function  $\ell(s)$  and for any probabilistic polynomial-time adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ , in the above game we have that  $\Pr_{r,k}[\Gamma_{0,r,k}^{\text{wPRF}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1] - \Pr_{r,g}[\Gamma_{1,r,g}^{\text{wPRF}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1]$  is negligible in terms of  $s$ . (I.e., the probability that  $b' = 1$  hardly depends on  $b$ .)

In what follows, we assume a polynomially bounded algorithm  $\text{Gen}$  which given  $s$  generates a prime number  $q$  of polynomially bounded length and a (multiplicatively denoted) group  $G_s$  of order  $q$  with basic operations (multiplication, inversion, comparison) computable in polynomial time. We set  $\mathcal{X}_s = \mathcal{Y}_s = G_s$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s = \mathbf{Z}_q$ . We define  $f_k(x) = x^k$ . We refer to this as the *DH-based function*.

- Q.1** Show that the DH-based function is: 1- a function family which is 2- key-homomorphic.
- Q.2** Given  $(g, X, Y, Z)$  where  $g$  generates  $G$  and with  $X = g^x$ ,  $Y = g^y$ , and  $Z = g^z$ , show that by picking  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}_q$  uniformly at random, then the pair  $(g^\alpha X^\beta, Y^\alpha Z^\beta)$  has a distribution which is uniform in  $G^2$  when  $z \neq xy$ . Show that it has the same distribution as  $(T, T^y)$  with  $T$  uniformly distributed in the  $z = xy$  case.
- Q.3** Show that if the decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem is hard for  $\text{Gen}$ , then the DH-based function is a wPRF.
- Hint:** given an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  playing the wPRF $_{\ell(s)}(b)$  game, construct a distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}(g, X, Y, Z)$  for the DDH problem by taking  $x_i = g^{\alpha_i} X^{\beta_i}$  and  $y_i = Y^{\alpha_i} Z^{\beta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell(s)$ .

Given a bit  $b$ , we define a MAC scheme based on the three polynomial algorithms KG (to generate a symmetric key), TAG (to compute the authenticated tag of a message based on a key), VRFY (to verify the tag of a message based on a key).

We define the following game.

**Game IND-CMA( $b$ ):**

- 1: pick random coins  $r$
- 2: **if**  $b = 0$  **then**
- 3:   run KG  $\rightarrow k$
- 4:   set up the oracle TAG $_k(\cdot)$
- 5:    $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{TAG}_k(\cdot)}(;r)$
- 6: **else**
- 7:   pick a random function  $g : \mathcal{X}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s$
- 8:   set up the oracle  $g(\cdot)$
- 9:    $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^{g(\cdot)}(;r)$
- 10: **end if**

Given some fixed  $b$ ,  $r$ , and  $k$  or  $g$ , the game is deterministic and we define  $\Gamma_{0,r,k}^{\text{IND-CMA}}(\mathcal{A})$  or  $\Gamma_{1,r,g}^{\text{IND-CMA}}(\mathcal{A})$  as the outcome  $b'$ . We say that the MAC is *IND-CMA-secure* if for any probabilistic polynomial adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Pr_{r,k}[\Gamma_{0,r,k}^{\text{IND-CMA}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1] - \Pr_{r,g}[\Gamma_{1,r,g}^{\text{IND-CMA}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1]$  is negligible in terms of the security parameter  $s$ .

We construct a MAC scheme from a key-homomorphic function family as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KG} &: \text{pick uniformly at random and yield } k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_s \\ \text{TAG}_{k_1, k_2}(m) &: \text{pick } x \in \mathcal{X}_s, \quad \text{yield } (x, f_{mk_1+k_2}(x)) \\ \text{VRFY}_{k_1, k_2}(m, (x, y)) &: \text{say whether } f_{mk_1+k_2}(x) = y \end{aligned}$$

- Q.4** Assume that  $f$  is a key-homomorphic function family. Given an IND-CMA-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  on the above MAC scheme, we define a wPRF-adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $f$  as follows:

- 1: receives  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{\ell(s)}, y_{\ell(s)}$
- 2: pick  $k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_g$  at random
- 3: simulate  $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}$   
for the  $i$ th chosen message query  $m$  from  $\mathcal{A}$ , simulate answer by  $t_i = f_{k_1}(x_i)^{m_i} y_i$   
(if there are more than  $\ell(s)$  chosen message queries, abort)

Show that  $\Gamma_{0,r,k_1}^{\text{wPRF}}(\mathcal{B}) = \Gamma_{0,r,k_1}^{\text{IND-CMA}}(\mathcal{A})$  and that  $\Gamma_{1,r,g}^{\text{wPRF}}(\mathcal{B}) = \Gamma_{1,r,g}^{\text{IND-CMA}}(\mathcal{A})$ .

- Q.5** Show that if  $f$  is a key-homomorphic wPRF, then the above construction is IND-CMA-secure.  
**Q.6** Propose an IND-CMA-secure MAC scheme based on the decisional Diffie-Hellman problem.

### 3 Perfect Unbounded IND is Equivalent to Perfect Secrecy

Given a message block space  $\mathcal{M}$  and a key space  $\mathcal{K}$ , we define a *block cipher* as a deterministic algorithm mapping  $(k, x)$  for  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  to some  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ . We denote  $y = C_k(x)$ . The algorithm must be such that there exists another algorithm  $C_k^{-1}$  such that for all  $k$  and  $x$ , we have  $C_k^{-1}(C_k(x)) = x$ .

We say that  $C$  provides *perfect secrecy* if for each  $x$ , the random variable  $C_K(x)$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathcal{M}$  when the random variable  $K$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Given a bit  $b$ , we define the following game.

**Game** IND( $b$ ):

- 1: pick random coins  $r$
- 2: pick  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  uniformly
- 3: run  $(m_0, m_1) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(; r)$
- 4: compute  $y = C_k(m_b)$
- 5: run  $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(y; r)$

Given some fixed  $b, r, k$ , the game is deterministic and we define  $\Gamma_{b,r,k}^{\text{IND}}(\mathcal{A})$  as the outcome  $b'$ . We say that  $C$  provides *perfect unbounded IND-security* if for any (unbounded) adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  playing the above game, we have  $\Pr_{r,k}[\Gamma_{0,r,k}^{\text{IND}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1] = \Pr_{r,k}[\Gamma_{1,r,k}^{\text{IND}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1]$ . (That is, the probability that  $b' = 1$  does not depend on  $b$ .)

- Q.1** This question is to see the link with a more standard notion of perfect secrecy.

Let  $X$  be a random variable of support  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $K$  be independent, and uniformly distributed in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and let  $Y = C_K(X)$ . Show that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if and only if  $C$  provides perfect secrecy as defined in this exercise.

**Hint:** first show that for all  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $\Pr[Y = y, X = x] = \Pr[C_K(x) = y] \Pr[X = x]$ . Then, deduce that if  $C$  provides perfect secrecy, then  $Y$  is uniformly distributed which implies that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent. Conversely, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, deduce that for all  $x$  and  $y$  we have  $\Pr[C_K(X) = y] = \Pr[C_K(x) = y]$ . Deduce that  $C_K^{-1}(y)$  is uniformly distributed then that  $C_K(x)$  is uniformly distributed.

- Q.2** Show that if  $C$  provides perfect secrecy, then it is perfect unbounded IND-secure.

- Q.3** Show that if  $C$  is perfect unbounded IND-secure, then for all  $x_1, x_2, z \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have that  $\Pr[C_K(x_1) = z] = \Pr[C_K(x_2) = z]$  when  $K$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Hint:** define a deterministic adversary  $\mathcal{A}_{x_1, x_2, z}$  based on  $x_1, x_2$ , and  $z$ .

- Q.4** Deduce that if  $C$  is perfect unbounded IND-secure, then it provides perfect secrecy.