

Advanced Cryptography — Final Exam

Serge Vaudenay

26.6.2019

- duration: 3h
- any document allowed
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- the exam invigilators will **not** answer any technical question during the exam
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade

1 Minimal Number of Samples to Distinguish Distributions

We consider two probability distributions P_0 and P_1 over a set \mathcal{Z} . We denote by $d(P_0, P_1)$ the *statistical distance* between them, which is

$$d(P_0, P_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} |P_0(z) - P_1(z)|$$

We also define the *Hellinger distance*

$$H(P_0, P_1) = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sqrt{P_0(z)P_1(z)}}$$

This is a distance in the sense that we always have $H(P_0, P_1) \geq 0$, $H(P_0, P_1) = 0 \iff P_0 = P_1$, and the triangular inequality. We further define the *fidelity*

$$F(P_0, P_1) = 1 - H(P_0, P_1)^2$$

The Fuchs - van de Graaf inequalities relate d and F as follows

$$1 - F(P_0, P_1) \leq d(P_0, P_1) \leq \sqrt{1 - F(P_0, P_1)^2}$$

Given two distributions P and Q , we denote by $P \otimes Q$ the distribution of a pair (X, Y) of independent variables X and Y such that X follows P and Y follows Q . We also denote

$$P^{\otimes n} = \overbrace{P \otimes \dots \otimes P}^{n \text{ times}}$$

We are interested in distinguishing the two distributions based on a vector of n i.i.d. samples following one or the other distribution. Given a real number $t \in [0, 1]$, we let n_t be the minimal integer such that there exists a distinguisher using n_t samples with advantage at least t .

Q.1 By using an easy bound on the statistical distance, show that for all t , we have

$$n_t \geq \frac{t}{d(P_0, P_1)}$$

Q.2 Prove that $F(P_0^{\otimes n}, P_1^{\otimes n}) = F(P_0, P_1)^n$.

HINT: first prove $F(P_0 \otimes Q_0, P_1 \otimes Q_1) = F(P_0, P_1)F(Q_0, Q_1)$.

Q.3 By writing $D_{1/2}(P_0 \| P_1) = -2 \cdot \log_2 F(P_0, P_1)$, prove that

$$n_t \geq \frac{-\log_2(1 - t^2)}{D_{1/2}(P_0 \| P_1)}$$

HINT: use the same technique as in Q.1 but get rid of d .

Q.4 Complete the previous bound by proving

$$\frac{-\log_2(1 - t^2)}{D_{1/2}(P_0 \| P_1)} \leq n_t < 1 + \frac{-2 \cdot \log_2(1 - t)}{D_{1/2}(P_0 \| P_1)}$$

HINT: use the second Fuchs - van de Graaf inequality.

Q.5 Prove that the minimum number n of samples to distinguish P_0 from P_1 with advantage at least $\frac{1}{2}$ is such that

$$\frac{0.41}{D_{1/2}(P_0 \| P_1)} < n < 1 + \frac{2}{D_{1/2}(P_0 \| P_1)}$$

2 An IND-CCA Variant of the ElGamal Cryptosystem

Given a key derivation function H and a correct symmetric encryption scheme E/D which can be computed in polynomial time, we define the following cryptosystem:

Setup(1^s) \rightarrow **pp**: generate a group G and its prime order q and define some public parameters **pp** from which we can extract s, q , the neutral element 1, a generator g , and parameters to be able to make multiplications in polynomially bounded time in terms of s . We assume that group elements have a unique representation.

Gen(**pp**) \rightarrow **pk, sk**: pick $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_q$, compute $X_1 = g^{x_1}$, $X_2 = g^{x_2}$, and define **pk** = (**pp**, X_1 , X_2), **sk** = (**pp**, x_1 , x_2).

Enc(**pk**, m) \rightarrow **ct**: pick $y \in \mathbf{Z}_q$, compute $Y = g^y$, $Z_1 = X_1^y$, $Z_2 = X_2^y$, $k = H(Y, Z_1, Z_2)$, $c = E_k(m)$, and define **ct** = (Y, c).

Dec(**sk**, **ct**) \rightarrow m : [to be defined]

We want to prove the IND-CCA security in the random oracle model, which is defined by the following game Γ_b with an adversary \mathcal{A} and the bit b :

Game Γ_b	Oracle OH(input)
1: pick a function H at random	1: return $H(\text{input})$
2: Setup $\xrightarrow{\$}$ pp	
3: Gen (pp) $\xrightarrow{\$}$ (pk , sk)	Oracle ODec ₁ (ct):
4: $\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{OH,ODec}_1}(\text{pk}) \xrightarrow{\$} (\text{pt}_0, \text{pt}_1, \text{st})$	2: return Dec ^{OH} (sk , ct)
5: if $ \text{pt}_0 \neq \text{pt}_1 $ then return 0	Oracle ODec ₂ (ct):
6: $\text{ct}^* \xleftarrow{\$} \text{Enc}^{\text{OH}}(\text{pk}, \text{pt}_b)$	3: if $\text{ct} = \text{ct}^*$ then return \perp
7: $\mathcal{A}_2^{\text{OH,ODec}_2}(\text{st}, \text{ct}^*) \xrightarrow{\$} z$	4: return Dec ^{OH} (sk , ct)
8: return z	

Q.1 Describe the decryption algorithm and prove that we have a correct public-key cryptosystem.

Q.2 Let Γ'_b be the following variant of Γ_b :

Game Γ'_b	Oracle OH(input)
1: Setup $\xrightarrow{\$}$ pp	1: if $T(\text{input})$ is not defined then
2: Gen (pp) $\xrightarrow{\$}$ (pk , sk)	2: pick $T(\text{input})$ at random
3: (pp , X_1 , X_2) \leftarrow pk	3: end if
4: initialize associative array T to empty	4: return $T(\text{input})$
5: $\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{OH,ODec}_1}(\text{pk}) \xrightarrow{\$} (\text{pt}_0, \text{pt}_1, \text{st})$	Oracle ODec ₁ (ct):
6: if $ \text{pt}_0 \neq \text{pt}_1 $ then return 0	5: return Dec ^{OH} (sk , ct)
7: pick $y^* \in \mathbf{Z}_q$	Oracle ODec ₂ (ct):
8: $Y^* \leftarrow g^{y^*}$, $Z_1^* \leftarrow X_1^{y^*}$, $Z_2^* \leftarrow X_2^{y^*}$	6: (Y, c) \leftarrow ct
9: $k^* \leftarrow \text{OH}(Y^*, Z_1^*, Z_2^*)$	7: if $(Y, c) = \text{ct}^*$ then return \perp
10: $c^* \leftarrow E_{k^*}(\text{pt}_b)$	8: if $Y = Y^*$ then return $D_{k^*}(c)$
11: $\text{ct}^* \leftarrow (Y^*, c^*)$	9: return Dec ^{OH} (sk , ct)
12: $\mathcal{A}_2^{\text{OH,ODec}_2}(\text{st}, \text{ct}^*) \xrightarrow{\$} z$	
13: return z	

Prove that $\Pr[\Gamma_b \rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\Gamma'_b \rightarrow 1]$ for all b .

Q.3 Let Γ''_b be a variant of Γ'_b in which Step 9 of the game is replaced by
9: pick k^* at random

We define the failure event F that OH is queried with input (Y^*, Z_1^*, Z_2^*) in I'_b at some time during the game except on Step 9. Prove that $|\Pr[I'_b \rightarrow 1] - \Pr[I''_b \rightarrow 1]| \leq \Pr[F]$.

Q.4 We say that E/D is secure if for any PPT algorithm \mathcal{B} , the advantage

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{B}} = \Pr[I_1^* \rightarrow 1] - \Pr[I_0^* \rightarrow 1]$$

is negligible, with I_b^* defined as follows:

Game I_b^*

- 1: $\mathcal{B}_1() \xrightarrow{\$} (m_0, m_1, \text{st})$
- 2: **if** $|m_0| \neq |m_1|$ **then return** 0
- 3: pick a random key k^*
- 4: $c^* \leftarrow E_{k^*}(m_b)$
- 5: $\mathcal{B}_2^{\text{OD}}(\text{st}, c^*) \xrightarrow{\$} z$
- 6: **return** z

Oracle OD(c):

- 1: **if** $c = c^*$ **then return** \perp
- 2: **return** $D_{k^*}(c)$

Prove that if E/D is secure, then $\Pr[I_1'' \rightarrow 1] - \Pr[I_0'' \rightarrow 1]$ is negligible.

Q.5 We consider the game I'_b from Q.2 and the event F from Q.3. We consider a variant \bar{T}_b of I'_b as follows:

Game \bar{T}_b

- 1: **Setup** $\xrightarrow{\$}$ pp
- 2: **Gen**(pp) $\xrightarrow{\$}$ (pk, sk)
- 3: (pp, X_1, X_2) \leftarrow pk, (pp, x_1, x_2) \leftarrow sk
- 4: initialize associative arrays **Good** and T to empty
- 5: $\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{OH,ODec}_1}(\text{pk}) \xrightarrow{\$}$ (pt₀, pt₁, st)
- 6: **if** $|\text{pt}_0| \neq |\text{pt}_1|$ **then return** 0
- 7: pick $y^* \in \mathbf{Z}_q$
- 8: $Y^* \leftarrow g^{y^*}$, $Z_1^* \leftarrow X_1^{y^*}$, $Z_2^* \leftarrow X_2^{y^*}$
- 9: $k^* \leftarrow \text{OH}(Y^*, Z_1^*, Z_2^*)$
- 10: $c^* \leftarrow E_{k^*}(\text{pt}_b)$
- 11: $\text{ct}^* \leftarrow (Y^*, c^*)$
- 12: $\mathcal{A}_2^{\text{OH,ODec}_2}(\text{st}, \text{ct}^*) \xrightarrow{\$}$ z
- 13: **return** z

Oracle OH(input)

- 1: $(Y, Z_1, Z_2) \leftarrow$ input
- 2: **if** $Z_1 = Y^{x_1}$ and $Z_2 = Y^{x_2}$ **then**
- 3: **if** **Good**(Y) undefined **then**
- 4: pick **Good**(Y) at random
- 5: **end if**
- 6: **return** **Good**(Y)
- 7: **else**
- 8: **if** $T(\text{input})$ is not defined **then**
- 9: pick $T(\text{input})$ at random
- 10: **end if**
- 11: **return** $T(\text{input})$
- 12: **end if**

Oracle ODec₁(ct):

- 13: **return** $\text{Dec}^{\text{OH}}(\text{sk}, \text{ct})$

Oracle ODec₂(ct):

- 14: $(Y, c) \leftarrow$ ct
- 15: **if** $(Y, c) = \text{ct}^*$ **then return** \perp
- 16: **if** $Y = Y^*$ **then return** $D_{k^*}(c)$
- 17: **return** $\text{Dec}^{\text{OH}}(\text{sk}, \text{ct})$

We define the event \bar{F} in \bar{T}_b as the event F in I'_b . Prove that $\Pr[\bar{T}_b \rightarrow 1] = \Pr[I'_b \rightarrow 1]$ and that $\Pr[F] = \Pr[\bar{F}]$.

Q.6 We define the Strong Twin Diffie-Hellman game as follows:

Game STDH:

- 1: **Setup** $\xrightarrow{\$}$ pp
- 2: pick $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_q$
- 3: $X_1 \leftarrow g^{x_1}$, $X_2 \leftarrow g^{x_2}$
- 4: pick $y^* \in \mathbf{Z}_q$
- 5: $Y^* \leftarrow g^{y^*}$, $Z_1^* \leftarrow X_1^{y^*}$, $Z_2^* \leftarrow X_2^{y^*}$
- 6: $\mathcal{C}^{\text{ODTDH}}(\text{pp}, X_1, X_2, Y^*) \xrightarrow{\$}$ (Z_1, Z_2)
- 7: **return** $1_{Z_1=Z_1^*, Z_2=Z_2^*}$

Oracle ODTDH(Y, Z_1, Z_2):

- 1: **return** $1_{Z_1=Y^{x_1} \wedge Z_2=Y^{x_2}}$

We consider the game \overline{T}_b and the event \overline{F} . Given an adversary \mathcal{A} playing the \overline{T}_b game, construct an adversary \mathcal{C} playing the STDH game such that

$$\Pr[\overline{F}] = \Pr[\text{STDH}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1]$$

HINT: find a way to simulate \overline{T}_b without sk .

Q.7 Summarize all what we did and prove that the cryptosystem is IND-CCA secure in the random oracle model, under the assumption that the strong twin Diffie-Hellman problem STDH is hard and that the E/D scheme is secure.

NOTE: in a twin exercise, we show STDH is equivalent to CDH.

3 Equivalence of CDH and the Strong Twin DH Problems

Note: this is a twin exercise of “An IND-CCA Variant of the ElGamal Cryptosystem”. However, both exercises are totally independent.

We define the Strong Twin Diffie-Hellman STDH game and the classical CDH game as follows:

<p>Game STDH:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1: Setup $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}$ pp 2: pick $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ 3: $X_1 \leftarrow g^{x_1}, X_2 \leftarrow g^{x_2}$ 4: pick $y^* \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ 5: $Y^* \leftarrow g^{y^*}, Z_1^* \leftarrow X_1^{y^*}, Z_2^* \leftarrow X_2^{y^*}$ 6: $\mathcal{A}^{\text{ODTDH}}(\text{pp}, X_1, X_2, Y^*) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} (Z_1, Z_2)$ 7: return $1_{Z_1=Z_1^*, Z_2=Z_2^*}$ <p>Oracle ODTDH(Y, Z_1, Z_2):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8: return $1_{Z_1=Y^{x_1} \wedge Z_2=Y^{x_2}}$ 	<p>Game CDH</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1: Setup $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}$ pp 2: pick $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ 3: $X \leftarrow g^x, Y \leftarrow g^y$ 4: $\mathcal{B}(\text{pp}, X, Y) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} Z$ 5: return $1_{Z=Y^x}$
--	---

Our goal is to prove the equivalence between the two problems.

Here, $\text{Setup}(1^s) \rightarrow \text{pp}$ is an algorithm which generates a group G and its prime order q in some public parameters pp . Given pp , we can extract q , the neutral element 1, a generator g , and parameters to be able to make multiplications in polynomially bounded time. We assume that group elements have a unique representation.

- Q.1** Given an adversary \mathcal{B} playing the CDH game, construct an adversary \mathcal{A} playing the STDH game such that $\Pr[\text{STDH} \rightarrow 1] \geq \Pr[\text{CDH} \rightarrow 1]^2$.
- Q.2** We define the following random variables: $x, u, v, y, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_q$, $x_1 = x$, and $x_2 = v - xu \pmod q$. We assume that (x, u, v) is uniformly distributed in \mathbf{Z}_q^3 and that $(y, z_1, z_2) = f(x_1, x_2)$ for some function f .
- Q.2a** Prove that (x_1, x_2, u) is uniformly distributed in \mathbf{Z}_q^3 .
- Q.2b** Prove that

$$\Pr[z_1 u + z_2 = yv \mid z_1 = yx_1, z_2 = yx_2] = 1 \quad , \quad \Pr[z_1 u + z_2 = yv \mid z_1 \neq yx_1 \vee z_2 \neq yx_2] \leq \frac{1}{q}$$

(where equalities are modulo q).

- Q.3** Given an adversary \mathcal{A} playing the STDH game, prove that the following \mathcal{B} playing the CDH game is such that $\Pr[\text{CDH} \rightarrow 1] \geq \Pr[\text{STDH} \rightarrow 1] - \frac{Q}{q}$ where Q is the total number of queries of \mathcal{A} .

<p>$\mathcal{B}(\text{pp}, X, Y)$:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1: pick $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ 2: $X_1 \leftarrow X, X_2 \leftarrow g^v X^{-u}$ 3: simulate $\mathcal{A}(\text{pp}, X_1, X_2, Y) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} (Z_1, Z_2)$ with oracle \mathcal{O} instead of ODTDH 4: return Z_1 	<p>Oracle $\mathcal{O}(\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2)$</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1: return $1_{\hat{Z}_1^u \hat{Z}_2 = \hat{Y}^v}$
---	---