## Advanced Cryptography — Final Exam

Serge Vaudenay

25.6.2025

- duration: 3h
- any document allowed
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- it is not allowed to write with a pencil
- it is not allowed to use the red color
- the exam invigilators will <u>not</u> answer any technical question during the exam
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade

## 1 Damgård's ElGamal Encryption

We define the following variant of the ElGamal cryptosystem. We assume a constant c. The key generation is an algorithm  $\mathsf{Gen}(1^s) \to (\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H, x_1, x_2)$ . It sets up some public parameters  $\mathsf{pp}$  which include the security parameter s, some group parameters (allowing to make additive group operations), and the order q of the group (which is a prime number). It also generates uniformly three generators G,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  of the group, two scalars  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_q$ , and  $H = x_1G_1 + x_2G_2$ . The public key is  $\mathsf{pk} = (\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H)$  and the secret key is  $\mathsf{sk} = (\mathsf{pp}, G, x_1, x_2)$ . Algorithms are polynomially bounded in terms of s. The message space is  $\{0, 1, \ldots, s^c - 1\}$ . Encryption consists of picking a random  $r \in \mathbf{Z}_q^*$  and setting

$$\mathsf{Enc}(\mathsf{pk}, m; r) = (mG + rH, rG_1, rG_2)$$

The decryption algorithm  $Dec(sk, C_0, C_1, C_2)$  returns either m or an error  $\perp$ .

- Q.1 Explain how decryption works and what is its complexity.
- Q.2 We consider the INDCCA1 security which is defined by the following game.

```
Game \Gamma_{\mathsf{INDCCA1}}(1^s, b) Oracle \mathsf{ODec}(D_0, D_1, D_2)

1: \mathsf{Gen}(1^s) \to (\mathsf{pk}, \mathsf{sk}) 6: \mathsf{return} \; \mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{sk}, D_0, D_1, D_2)

2: \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{ODec}}(\mathsf{pk}) \to (m_0, m_1, \mathsf{st})

3: \mathsf{Enc}(\mathsf{pk}, m_b; r) \to (C_0, C_1, C_2)

4: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{st}, C_0, C_1, C_2) \to z

5: \mathsf{return} \; z
```

The oracle ODec(input) which returns the result of Dec(sk, input).

Define the advantage of A.

What is the difference with the normal INDCCA game?

Prove that the cryptosystem is not INDCCA secure.

Q.3 Prove that the advantage in the INDCCA1 game is equal to the advantage of the following game.

```
Game \Gamma_1(1^s, b)

1: \mathsf{Gen}(1^s) \to (\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H, x_1, x_2)

2: \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{ODec}}(\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H) \to (m_0, m_1, \mathsf{st})

3: \mathsf{pick}\ r \in \mathbf{Z}_q^*, \ \mathsf{set}\ C_1 = rG_1, \ C_2 = rG_2, \ C_0 = m_bG + x_1C_1 + x_2C_2

4: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{st}, C_0, C_1, C_2) \to z

5: \mathsf{return}\ z
```

Q.4 We consider the following game.

6: return z

10:  $\mathbf{return} \ z$ 

```
Game \Gamma_2(1^s, b)

1: \mathsf{Gen}(1^s) \to (\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H, x_1, x_2)

2: \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{ODec}}(\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H) \to (m_0, m_1, \mathsf{st})

3: \mathsf{pick}\ r, r' \in \mathbf{Z}_q^*, \ \mathsf{set}\ C_1 = rG_1, \ C_2 = r'G_2

4: C_0 = m_bG + x_1C_1 + x_2C_2

5: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{st}, C_0, C_1, C_2) \to z
```

Formulate a standard security assumption under which the difference of the advantages of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is negligible.

**Q.5** Prove that  $\Gamma_2(1^s, b)$  and the following game  $\Gamma_3(1^s, b)$  give the same advantages.

```
Game \Gamma_{3}(1^{s}, b)

1: generate (pp, G, G_{1}) like with Gen

2: pick \omega \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}^{*}

3: pick z, x_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}

4: set x_{1} = z - x_{2}\omega

5: set G_{2} = \omega G_{1} and H = zG_{1}

6: \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{ODec}}(pp, G, G_{1}, G_{2}, H) \to (m_{0}, m_{1}, \mathsf{st})

7: pick r, r' \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}^{*}, set C_{1} = rG_{1}, C_{2} = r'G_{2}

8: C_{0} = m_{b}G + rH + x_{2}(r' - r)G_{2}

9: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{st}, C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}) \to z
```

**Q.6** Given an index i, we define the game  $\Gamma'_i$  as follows.

```
Game \Gamma'_i(1^s, b)

1: generate (\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1) like with Gen

2: pick \omega \in \mathbf{Z}_q^*

3: pick z, x_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_q

4: set x_1 = z - x_2\omega

5: set G_2 = \omega G_1 and H = zG_1

6: set \mathsf{ct} = 0

7: \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathsf{pp}, G, G_1, G_2, H) \to (m_0, m_1, \mathsf{st})

8: pick r, r' \in \mathbf{Z}_q^*, set C_1 = rG_1, C_2 = r'G_2

9: C_0 = m_b G + rH + x_2(r' - r)G_2

10: \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{st}, C_0, C_1, C_2) \to z
```

```
11: return z
Oracle \mathcal{O}(D_0, D_1, D_2)
12: increment ct
13: if ct > i then
14:
        return Dec(pp, G, x_1, x_2, D_0, D_1, D_2)
15: else
        if D_2 \neq \omega D_1 then return \perp
16:
        if D_1 = 0 then return \perp
17:
        set M = D_0 - zD_1
18:
        find the discrete logarithm m of M in the message space (set m = \bot if none)
19:
20:
        return m
21: end if
```

Prove that  $\Gamma'_0(1^s, b)$  gives the same advantage as  $\Gamma_3(1^s, b)$ . Further define an event  $\mathsf{Bad}_i$  which can occur during the execution of the games and such that  $\Pr[\Gamma'_{i-1} \to 1 | \neg \mathsf{Bad}_i] = \Pr[\Gamma'_i \to 1 | \neg \mathsf{Bad}_i]$ .

Q.7 Prove that  $\Pr[\mathsf{Bad}_i] \leq \frac{s^c}{q}$ . Deduce that the difference between the advantages given by  $\Gamma'_{i-1}$  and  $\Gamma'_i$  is negligible.

Hint: when is the first time  $x_2$  is used?

**Q.8** Prove that there exists some polynomial Q(s) such that the game  $\Gamma'_{Q(s)}$  gives the advantage bounded by  $\frac{1}{q}$ .

## 2 PMAC Security via Tweakable Block Ciphers

A tweakable block cipher is a function pair defined by a block space  $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$ , a key space  $\mathcal{K}$ , and a tweak space  $\mathcal{T}$ . The functions are  $\pi: \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{T} \times \{0,1\}^{\ell} \to \{0,1\}^{\ell}$  and  $\pi^{-1}: \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{T} \times \{0,1\}^{\ell} \to \{0,1\}^{\ell}$ . (The second function is denoted  $\pi^{-1}$  by abuse of notation. By abuse of notation, we also say that  $\pi$  is the tweakable block cipher.) They must be such that for every  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , the functions  $x \mapsto \pi(k,t,x)$  and  $y \mapsto \pi^{-1}(k,t,y)$  are permutations over  $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$  which are inverse of each other. For more readability, we denote  $\pi_k^t(x) = \pi(k,t,x)$ .

Given an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  interacting with an oracle  $\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{T} \times \{0,1\}^{\ell} \to \{0,1\}^{\ell}$ , we define

$$\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRP}}_{\pi}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\mathsf{PRP}_{\pi}(\mathcal{A}, 1) \to 1] - \Pr[\mathsf{PRP}_{\pi}(\mathcal{A}, 0) \to 1]$$

where  $\mathsf{PRP}_{\pi}(\mathcal{A}, b)$  is the following game.

```
Game \mathsf{PRP}_{\pi}(\mathcal{A}, b) Oracle \mathcal{O}(t, x):

1: pick k \in \mathcal{K} at random

2: pick a random function \Pi from \mathcal{T} to the set of permutations of \{0, 1\}^{\ell}

3: \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{O}} \to z

4: return z
Oracle \mathcal{O}(t, x):

5: if b = 1 then

6: return \pi_k^t(x)

7: else

8: return (\Pi(t))(x)
```

In what follows, we assume that  $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$  is given a field structure with addition  $\oplus$  and multiplication. We also consider an injective function mapping  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  to a nonzero field element  $\alpha_t$ .

Given a block cipher  $C: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{\ell} \to \{0,1\}^{\ell}$ , we define  $\pi = \mathsf{XE}_C$  the tweakable block cipher by

$$\pi_k^t(x) = C_k \left( x \oplus \alpha_t \cdot C_k(0) \right)$$

Below, we define a (simplified) version of PMAC. We consider the message space  $\mathcal{M}$  of finite sequences of blocks in  $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$  with a number of blocks bounded by B, and  $\mathcal{T} = \{1,\ldots,B\} \times \{2,3\}$ . We let  $\pi = \mathsf{XE}_C$  and

$$\mathsf{PMAC}(k,(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) = \pi_k^{n,3} \left( \pi_k^{1,2}(x_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_k^{n-1,2}(x_{n-1}) \oplus x_n \right)$$

with  $n \leq B$ . That is, the inner tweaks are pairs t = (i, 2) with i being the block index and the outer tweak is the pair t = (n, 3) with n being the number of blocks. If we denote  $L = C_k(0)$  and  $\Delta_t = \alpha_t \cdot L$ , we have

$$\mathsf{PMAC}(k,(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) = C_k\left(C_k(x_1 \oplus \Delta_{1,2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k(x_{n-1} \oplus \Delta_{n-1,2}) \oplus x_n \oplus \Delta_{n,3}\right)$$

With the tag length  $\ell$ , a complete last block  $x_n$ , and an appropriate definition for  $\alpha_t$ , this is the standard PMAC authentication code.

- **Q.1** When  $\mathcal{T}$  has a single element, prove that a tweakable block cipher  $\pi$  over the tweak space  $\mathcal{T}$  is totally defined by a block cipher C over the same key space and block space, and that the PRP security of  $\pi$  is equivalent to the CPA security of C against distinguishers (i.e. the real-or-ideal cipher security which has been seen in the class).
- **Q.2** When the function mapping  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  to  $\alpha_t$  is not injective, prove that  $\mathsf{XE}_C$  is not a secure tweakable block cipher by describing an adversary achieving a high advantage.
- Q.3 We consider the PRF security of PMAC over the key space  $\mathcal{K}$ , the input space  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the output space  $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$ . We denote by PMAC[ $\pi$ ] the authentication code which is defined by the tweakable block cipher  $\pi$ . We also denote by  $\pi^*$  the ideal tweakable block cipher over the same tweak space and block space. (I.e., the key space of  $\pi^*$  is the set of all functions from the tweak space to the set of block permutations and  $\pi^*(k,t,x) = (k(t))(x)$ .)
  - Given an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  against the PRF security of PMAC which is limited to a time complexity T (which include the running time and the size of the code of adversary) and to a number of queries with a total length of q blocks, prove that we can construct an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  against the PRP security of  $\pi$  with number of queries limited to q and a complexity of T plus a small overhead and such that  $\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRF}}_{\mathsf{PMAC}[\pi]}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRP}}_{\pi}(\mathcal{B}) + \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRF}}_{\mathsf{PMAC}[\pi^*]}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- Q.4 Given an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  against the PRP security of  $\pi = \mathsf{XE}_C$  which is limited to a time complexity T' (which include the running time and the size of the code of adversary) and to a number of queries of q, prove that we can construct an adversary  $\mathcal{C}$  against the PRP security of C with number of queries limited to q+1 and a complexity of T plus a small overhead and such that  $\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRP}}_{\pi}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRP}}_{C}(\mathcal{C}) + \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRP}}_{\pi'}(\mathcal{B})$ , where  $\pi' = \mathsf{XE}_{C^*}$  and  $C^*$  is an ideal block cipher over the same block space.

- Q.5 Given an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  against the PRP security of  $\pi' = \mathsf{XE}_{C^*}$  which is limited to a number of queries of q', prove that  $\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRP}}_{\pi'}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRF}}_{\pi''}(\mathcal{B}) + \frac{{q'}^2}{2^\ell}$ , where  $\pi'' = \mathsf{XE}_{F^*}$  and  $F^*$  is an ideal random function from  $\{0,1\}^\ell$  to  $\{0,1\}^\ell$ .
- **Q.6** Given an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  against the PRF security of  $\pi'' = \mathsf{XE}_{F^*}$  which is limited to a number of queries of q', prove that  $\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{PRF}}_{\pi''}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{{q'}^2}{2^\ell}$ .