

Family Name:
First Name:
Section:

Cryptography and Security Course

(Crypto Part)

Midterm Exam

December 9th, 2005

This document consists of 8 pages.

Instructions

Electronic devices are not allowed.

Answers must be written on the exercises sheet.

This exam contains 1 exercise made of 8 questions.

Answers can be either in French or English.

Questions of any kind will certainly not be answered. Potential errors in these sheets are part of the exam.

You have to put your full name on *each* page.

From Cryptographic Hash Functions to Message Authentication Codes

This exercise shall introduce Message Authentication Codes (MAC) constructions based on iterated cryptographic hash functions, i.e., hash functions based on the Merkle-Damgård scheme.

Iterated Hash Functions

In this problem, we consider a cryptographic hash function $h : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^N$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{0, 1\}^n$. We will assume that h is based on the Merkle-Damgård scheme (see Figure 1). We denote by $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^\ell \to \{0, 1\}^n$ the compression function. Recall that in this construction the padding is mandatory and only depends on the length of the message.



Figure 1: The Merkle-Damgård scheme

1. Give the name of two standard hash functions based on the Merkle-Damgård scheme. What is the the value of n and ℓ of these two hash functions?

2. Assuming that up to 2^{60} hash computations can be performed in a "reasonable" time, explain why n should be at least 128.

Message Authentication Codes

Alice wants to ensure the integrity and the authenticity of some information transmitted to Bob over an insecure channel. Assuming that she shares a common secret key k with Bob, a typical way of solving this problem is to use a *Message Authentication Code* (MAC) (see Figure 2). More precisely, denoting M the message she wants to send to Bob, Alice first computes an *authentication tag* $c = MAC_k(M)$ using the secret key and then sends c||M to Bob (where || denotes the string concatenation). Bob will accept the tag and message c'||M'he receives only if $c' = MAC_k(M')$.

The goal of a MAC is to prevent *forgery*: an adversary (with a limited computational power) should not be able to produce a valid authentication tag for a message not already sent by Alice or Bob (note that the key k is known by Alice and Bob *only*). In this problem, we will consider that the adversary has access to an oracle (i.e., a black box) which tells him, for any tag c and message M, whether $c = MAC_k(M)$ or not (see Figure 3).



Figure 2: Authentication using a MAC



Figure 3: An Adversary learning whether $c_i = MAC_k(M_i)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., q

3. We consider the case where $c \in \{0,1\}^{128}$ and $k \in \{0,1\}^{64}$. An adversary wants to produce a valid authentication tag for some (given) message M. Propose an algorithm which outputs $MAC_k(M)$ with at most 2^{64} oracle queries.

4. We consider the case where $c \in \{0,1\}^{64}$ and $k \in \{0,1\}^{128}$. Once again, the adversary wants to produce a valid authentication tag for M. Propose another algorithm which outputs $MAC_k(M)$ with at most 2^{64} oracle queries.

5. Considering the two previous questions, explain why it is not useful to have a key longer than the MAC output size. Explain why the length of c and k should be *at least* 64 bits.

Instead of using a standard MAC construction, Alice and Bob decide to compute a MAC based on an iterated hash function h such that for any message M

MAC_k(M) =
$$h(\widetilde{k}||M)$$
, where $\widetilde{k} = \underbrace{k||00\cdots 0}_{\ell \text{ bits}}$.

6. Assume Alice sends one message M and its authentication tag c = h(k||M) to Bob over the insecure channel (so that the adversary knows M and c). Explain how the adversary can forge a valid tag $c' \neq c$ for a message M' longer than M. **Hint:** Use the specific structure of Merkle-Damgård scheme.



Aware of the critical weakness of their construction, Alice and Bob decide to adopt the following construction for any message M:

$$\operatorname{MAC}_{k}(M) = h(M \| \widetilde{k}), \text{ where } \widetilde{k} = \underbrace{k \| 00 \cdots 0}_{\ell \text{ bits}}.$$

7. Assume Alice has sent $2^{n/2}$ messages M_i and their authentication tags $c_i = h(M_i || k)$ to Bob over the insecure channel. Explain how the adversary can forge a valid tag for a message $M' \neq M_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^{n/2}$ in roughly $2^{n/2}$ hash computations. **Hint:** Use the Birthday paradox.

8. Suggest a similar MAC construction (i.e., where the hash function is applied exactly once) immune to both previous attacks. Explain why your construction is secure.

 \hookrightarrow