# Cryptography and Security - Final Exam 

Serge Vaudenay

20.1.2015

- duration: 3h
- no documents allowed, except one 2-sided sheet of handwritten notes
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- the exam invigilators will not answer any technical question during the exam
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade


## 1 Hidden Collisions in DSA

We recall the DSA signature scheme:
Public parameters $(p, q, g)$ : pick a 160 -bit prime number $q$, pick a large $a$ random until $p=a q+1$ is prime, pick $h$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$ and take $g=h^{a} \bmod p$ until $g \neq 1$.
Set up: pick $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}$ (the secret key) and compute $y=g^{x} \bmod p$ (the public key).
Signature generation for a message $M$ : pick a random $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}^{*}$, compute

$$
r=\left(g^{k} \bmod p\right) \bmod q \quad s=\frac{H(M)+x r}{k} \bmod q
$$

the signature is $\sigma=(r, s)$.
Verification: check that $r=\left(g^{\frac{H(M)}{s}} \bmod q y^{\frac{r}{s} \bmod q} \bmod p\right) \bmod q$.
The hash function $H$ is the SHA-1 standard. The output of $H$ is a binary string which is implicitely converted into an integer. DSA was standardized by NIST with a usual suspicion that the NSA was behind it. It could be the case that some specific choices for $(p, q, g)$ could indeed hide some special property making an attack possible. This is what we investigate in this exercise.
Q. 1 What is the complexity of finding $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ such that $m \neq m^{\prime}$ and $H(m)=H\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ ?
Q. 2 Describe a chosen-message signature-forgery attack based on the fact that an adversary knows two messages $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ such that $m \neq m^{\prime}$ and $H(m)=H\left(m^{\prime}\right)$.
Q. 3 Describe a chosen-message signature-forgery attack based on the fact that an adversary knows two messages $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ such that $m \neq m^{\prime}$ and $q=H(m)-H\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ (with the integer subtraction).
Propose a way for the NSA to generate public parameters $(p, q, g)$ in such a way that it can later perform a forgery attack for a suitable message.
Q. 4 To put more confidence, NIST added a way to certify that $(p, q, g)$ were honestly selected. For this, we shall provide together with the public parameters a value seed such that

$$
q=(H(\text { seed }) \oplus H(\text { seed }+1)) \vee 2^{159} \vee 1
$$

where $\oplus$ denotes the bitwise XOR, $\vee$ denotes the bitwise OR , and + is the regular addition of integers. I.e., $q$ is the XOR between $H$ (seed) and $H$ (seed +1 ) after which the least and the most significant bits are forced to 1 so that $2^{159} \leq q<2^{160}$ and $q$ is odd.

Propose a way to construct (seed, $p, q, g$ ) such that an attack is still possible.
HINT: take $m=$ seed and $m^{\prime}=$ seed +1 and estimate the probability that $\mid H(m)-$ $H\left(m^{\prime}\right) \mid=q$ for seed random, by looking at the propagation of carry bits in the subtraction. $\mathrm{HINT}^{2}$ : you may skip this question.

## 2 DSA With Related Randomness

We recall the DSA signature scheme:
Public parameters $(p, q, g)$ : pick a 160 -bit prime number $q$, pick a large $a$ random until $p=a q+1$ is prime, pick $h$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$ and take $g=h^{a} \bmod p$ until $g \neq 1$.
Set up: pick $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}$ (the secret key) and compute $y=g^{x} \bmod p$ (the public key).
Signature generation for a message $M$ : pick a random $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{q}^{*}$, compute

$$
r=\left(g^{k} \bmod p\right) \bmod q \quad s=\frac{H(M)+x r}{k} \bmod q
$$

the signature is $\sigma=(r, s)$.
Verification: check that $r=\left(g^{\frac{H(M)}{s} \bmod q} y^{\frac{r}{s} \bmod q} \bmod p\right) \bmod q$.
Sampling the randomness $k$ to sign is critical. This exercise is about bad sampling methods.
In what follows, we consider two messages $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, a signature $\left(r_{i}, s_{i}\right)$ for message $m_{i}$ using the randomness $k_{i}, i=1,2$.
Q. 1 Sometimes, random sources are not reliable and produce twice the same value. If $k_{1}=k_{2}$, show that from the values of $p, q, g, y, r_{1}, s_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, m_{1}, m_{2}$ we can recover $x$.
Q. 2 To avoid the previous problem, a crypto apprentice decides to sample $k$ based on a counter. Redo the previous question with $k_{2}=k_{1}+1$.
Q. 3 To avoid the previous problem, a crypto apprentice decides to sample $k$ by iterating an affine function. Redo the previous question for $k_{2}=\alpha k_{1}+\beta$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ known.
Q. 4 To avoid the previous problem, a crypto apprentice decides to sample $k$ by iterating an quadratic function. Redo the previous question for $k_{2}=\alpha k_{1}^{2}+\beta k_{1}+\gamma$ with $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ known.

## 3 Reset Password Recovery

We consider a non-uniform distribution $D$ of passwords. Passwords are taken from a set $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$ and each password $k_{i}$ is selected with probability $\operatorname{Pr}_{D}\left[k_{i}\right]$. (We omit the subscript $D$ when there is no ambiguity in the distribution.) For simplicity, we assume that $\operatorname{Pr}\left[k_{1}\right] \geq$ $\operatorname{Pr}\left[k_{2}\right] \geq \cdots \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left[k_{n}\right]$. We consider a game in which a cryptographer apprentice plays with a black-box device which has two buttons - a reset button and a test button - and a keyboard.

- When the player pushes the reset button, the device picks a new password $K$, following the above distribution, and stores it into its memory. The game cannot start before the player pushes this button.
- The player can enter an input $w$ on the keyboard and push the test button. This makes the device compare $K$ with $w$. If $K=w$, the device opens, the player wins, and the game stops. Otherwise, the device remains closed and the player continues.

A strategy is an algorithm that the player follows to play the game. Given a strategy, we let $C$ denote the expected number of times the player pushes the test button until he wins. The goal of the player is to design a strategy which uses a minimal $C$.

In this exercise, we consider several strategies. To compare them, we use a toy distribution $T$ defined by the parameters $a, p$ and

$$
\underset{T}{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[k_{1}\right]=\cdots=\underset{T}{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[k_{a}\right]=\frac{p}{a} \quad, \quad \underset{T}{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[k_{a+1}\right]=\cdots=\underset{T}{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[k_{n}\right]=\frac{1-p}{n-a}
$$

and assuming that $\frac{p}{a} \geq \frac{1-p}{n-a}$.
Q. 1 We consider a strategy in which the player always pushes the reset button before pushing the test button. For a general distribution $D$, give an optimal strategy and the corresponding value of $C$.
Apply the general result to the toy distribution $T$.
Q. 2 We consider a strategy in which the reset button is never used again after the initial reset. For a general distribution $D$, give an optimal strategy and the corresponding value of $C$. Apply the general result to the toy distribution $T$.
Q. 3 For $n=3$ and $a=1$, propose one value for $p$ in the toy distribution $T$ so that the strategy in Q. 2 is better and one value for $p$ so that the strategy in Q. 1 is better. We recall that we must have $\frac{p}{a} \geq \frac{1-p}{n-a}$.
Q. 4 We consider a strategy in which the player always pushes the reset button after $m$ tests have been made since the last reset. For a general distribution $D$, give an optimal strategy and the corresponding value of $C$.
Check that your result is consistent with those from Q. 1 and Q. 2 with $m=1$ and $m=n$.

## 4 A Bad EKE with RSA

In this exercise we want to apply the EKE construction with the RSA cryptosystem and the AES cipher to derive a password-based authenticated key exchange protocol (PAKE). For that, Alice and Bob are assumed to share a (low-entropy) password $w$. The protocol runs as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\text { Alice } & \text { Bob } \\
\text { password: } w & \text { password: } w
\end{array} \\
& \text { RSAGen } \rightarrow(\text { sk, } N), B_{1}\|\cdots\| B_{8} \leftarrow N \\
& C_{1}\|\cdots\| C_{8} \leftarrow \operatorname{AESCBC}_{w}\left(B_{1}\|\cdots\| B_{8}\right) \xrightarrow{C_{1}\|\cdots\| C_{8}} B_{1}\|\cdots\| B_{8} \leftarrow \operatorname{AESCBC}_{w}^{-1}\left(C_{1}\|\cdots\| C_{8}\right) \\
& N \leftarrow B_{1}\|\cdots\| B_{8} \text {, pick } K \in\{0,1\}^{128} \\
& \Gamma \leftarrow \operatorname{RSAEnc}_{N, 3}(K), B_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| B_{8}^{\prime} \leftarrow \Gamma \\
& B_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| B_{8}^{\prime} \leftarrow \operatorname{AESCBC}_{w}^{-1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| C_{8}^{\prime}\right) \longleftarrow C_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| C_{8}^{\prime}-C_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| C_{8}^{\prime} \leftarrow \operatorname{AESCBC}_{w}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| B_{8}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Gamma \leftarrow B_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| B_{8}^{\prime}, K \leftarrow \operatorname{RSADec}_{\text {sk }}(\Gamma) \\
& \text { output: } K \quad \text { output: } K
\end{aligned}
$$

Here are some explanations:

- Alice generates an RSA modulus $N$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(3, \varphi(N))=1$. This modulus is supposed to have exactly 1024 bits. The modulus $N$ is written in binary and splits into 8 blocks $N=B_{1}\|\cdots\| B_{8}$. The blocks $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{8}$ are then encrypted with AES in CBC mode with IV set to the zero block and the key set to $w$. The obtained ciphertext blocks $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{8}$ are sent to Bob.
- Bob decrypts $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{8}$ following the AES-CBC decryption algorithm with IV set to the zero block and the key set to $w$. He recovers $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{8}$ and can reconstruct $N$. He picks a random 128-bit key $K$ and computes the RSA-OAEP encryption of $K$ with key $N$ and $e=3$. He then obtains a ciphertext $\Gamma$. This is split into 8 blocks $\Gamma=B_{1}^{\prime}\|\cdots\| B_{8}^{\prime}$ and the blocks $B_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{8}^{\prime}$ are then encrypted with AES in CBC mode with IV set to the zero block and the key set to $w$. The obtained ciphertext blocks $C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{8}^{\prime}$ are sent to Alice.
- Alice decrypts $C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{8}^{\prime}$ following the AES-CBC decryption algorithm with IV set to the zero block and the key set to $w$. She recovers $B_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{8}^{\prime}$ and can reconstruct $\Gamma$. She applies the RSA-OAEP decryption on $\Gamma$ with her secret key and obtains $K$.

So, Alice and Bob end the protocol with the secret $K$.
Q. 1 Assume (only in this question) that we use plain RSA instead of RSA-OAEP. Show that Eve can easily recover $w$ and $K$ in a passive attack with a single execution of the protocol. HINT: show that the plain RSA decryption of $\Gamma$ is easy in this case.
Q. 2 Propose a passive attack allowing Eve to deduce the password $w$ after a few executions of the protocol. Estimate the number of executions needed to recover a password with less than 48 bits of entropy with a high probability.
HINT: $N$ is not an arbitrary bitstring. You could think of eliminating some password guesses.

